UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 2 DISTRICT OF NEVADA 3 Anthony Michael Gioiosa, Sr., Case No.: 2:20-cv-01806-JAD-DJA 4 Plaintiff **Order Dismissing Case** 5 as Duplicative 6 Dontrell Livingston, et al., 7 Defendants 8 9 A review of the docket indicates that Plaintiff Anthony Michael Gioiosa, Sr.'s complaint¹ and application to proceed in forma pauperis² are identical to the complaint and application to 11 proceed in forma pauperis that he filed in Gioiosa v. Harper, Case No. 2:20-cv-01671-RFB-12 NJK. The record lacks any indication that Gioiosa intended to initiate duplicate litigations of his 13 claims, and it appears that Gioiosa may have inadvertently refiled the same documents, without 14 realizing that he was opening a new case. Because Gioiosa's claims will be considered in Case 15 No. 2:20-cv-01671-RFB-NJK, I dismiss the instant action as duplicative, and close this matter. 16 Conclusion 17 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court is directed to FILE the 18 complaint (ECF No. 1-1). 19 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this case is dismissed as duplicative of the claims made in plaintiff's previously filed case, Gioiosa v. Harper, Case No. 2:20-cv-01671-RFB-NJK. 21 22 23 ¹ ECF No. 1-1. ² ECF No. 1.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED plaintiff's application to proceed in forma pauperis [ECF No. 1] is DENIED as moot. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court is directed to SEND plaintiff a courtesy copy of this order and CLOSE THIS CASE. Dated: July 12, 2021 U.S. District Judge Jennifer A. Dorsey